

Rational Points on Del Pezzo Surface of degree 1 and 2

Shuijing Li

April 23, 2009

Abstract

We discuss the rational points on del Pezzo surface of degree 1 and 2 over any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, and give out the explicit equations of del Pezzo surfaces that have unique rational point.

1 Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in Arithmetic Geometry is to determine or describe the set of rational points $X(k)$ for a given algebraic variety X defined over a number field k .

A Del Pezzo surface over a number field k is an algebraic surface X whose anticanonical sheaf w_X^{-1} is ample. The degree of X is the integer $d = (w_X^{-1}, w_X^{-1})$ (where $(,)$ denotes intersection form). Let $\bar{X} = X \times_k \bar{k}$, where $\bar{k}$ is the algebraic closure of k .

Geometrically, smooth del Pezzo surfaces are obtained by blowing up $d \leq 8$ points in general position in $\mathbb{P}^2$. The singular ones are blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in special configurations of points or in infinitely near points. A detailed study of geometric and arithmetic properties of del Pezzo surfaces can be found in [3]. Many interesting arithmetic questions are connected with the class of del Pezzo surfaces. As such surfaces are geometrically rational (they are rational over the field $\mathbb{C}$), it is especially interesting to look at problems concerning the question about the existence of k -rational points, where k is other field. From the arithmetic and geometric points of view, higher degree del Pezzo surfaces are simpler than low degree ones.

We recall that the property of being ample by definition means that there exists a closed embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $w_X^{-N} \cong i^*(O_{\mathbb{P}}^N(1))$. To sum up the properties of Del Pezzo surfaces that we will use, we combine several facts taken from [1] into the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1 *Let X be a Del Pezzo surface of degree d over a field k .
(a) $1 \leq d \leq 9$.*

- (b) $\text{Pic } \bar{X}$ is a free abelian group of rank $10 - d$.
- (c) If $X \longrightarrow X'$ is a birational morphism, then X' is a Del Pezzo surface.
- (d) Over $\bar{k}$, either $\bar{X}$ is isomorphic to the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^2$ at $r = 9 - d$ points $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ in general position, or $d = 8$ and $\bar{X} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1$. Conversely, any surface as the blow-up of a projective plane at r points in general position is a Del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 9 - r$. (For a set of points, general position means that no three points on a line, no six on a conic and no eight on a singular cubic with a singularity at one of the points)
- (e) Let C be an exceptional curve; that is, C is a curve on X such that $(C, C) = -1$ and $C \cong \mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^1$. Then if $r \leq 6$, the image of C in $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{k}}^2$ under the blowing-down map to $\mathbb{P}^2$ is either:

1. one of the x_i
2. a line passing through two of the x_i
3. a conic passing through five of the x_i
4. a cubic passing through seven of the x_i such that one x_i is a double point
5. a quartic passing through eight of the x_i such that three x_i are double points
6. a quintic passing through eight of the x_i such that six x_i are double points
7. a sextic passing through eight of the x_i such that seven x_i are double points and one is a triple point

Conversely, each point, line, and conic in the preceding list gives rise to exactly one exceptional curve C .

- (f) The anticanonical map $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ is a closed immersion for $d \geq 3$, so X can be realized as a degree- d surface in $\mathbb{P}^d$ for $d \geq 3$. For $d = 2$ the anticanonical map has degree 2.

Proof These are (respectively) Theorem 24.3(i), Lemma 24.3.1, Corollary 24.5.2, For(c), Remark 24.4.2 and Theorem 24.4, and Theorem 26.2 of [1].

Remark We will often abuse notation and speak of " exceptional curves on X " instead of $\bar{X}$. As in (b), the Picard group of a Del Pezzo surface is free of rank $10 - d$, with generators that can be described in terms of exceptional curves on the surface. Exceptional curves are curves with negative self-intersection, and in our case, they are (-1) curves; For example, the exceptional curves on a Del Pezzo surface of degree 3, which is a nonsingular cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, are simply the famous 27 lines on the cubic surface.

Part (e) shows that there are only finitely many exceptional curves on X , and in fact, for each value of r , the number c_r of exceptional curves is easily computable from the description in part (e):

r	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
C_r	0	1	3	6	10	16	27	56	240

Theorem 1.2 *Let X be a rational variety over k with a k -point. All generalized del Pezzo surfaces X of degree $d \geq 3$ with $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ are k -unirational; non-degenerate del pezzo surface of degree 2 having a k -point not lying on the exceptional curves are also k -unirational.*

Proof For non-degenerate surfaces this is proved in Manin [1], for degenerate ones in Coray and Tsfasman [2]. The k -unirationality of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 and conic bundles of degree ≤ 1 is an extremely difficult problem. However over a local field k , a conic bundle having a k -point is always unirational (See Theorem 3.5.1 on [3])

Theorem 1.3 *Let $f(z) = z^5 + az^3 + bz^2 + cz + d \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ and let us consider a del Pezzo surface of degree one given by the equation $\mathcal{E}_f: x^2 - y^3 - f(z) = 0$. If the set of rational points on the curve*

$$E_{a,b}: Y^2 = X^3 + 135(2a - 15)X - 1350(5a + 2b - 26)$$

is infinite, then the set of rational points on the surface $\mathcal{E}_f$ is dense in the Zariski topology.

This is stated in a very recent paper "Rational points on certain del Pezzo surfaces of degree one" written by Maciej Ulas. And he also shows this:

Theorem 1.4 *If t is a transcendental parameter, then under the same assumption on the curve $E_{a,b}$ the set of $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ -points on the surface $\mathcal{E}_f: x^2 - y^3 - f(z) = t$, which is treated over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}[t]$, is infinite.*

However, over the finite fields, the k -rational points cannot be infinite or dense anymore. In this note, we are interested in the lower bound of k -rational points over all the finite fields on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 and 2.

2 The number of k -points

Let k be a finite field of q elements and X be a smooth projective surface over k . Let G denotes the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ and $F \in G$ denotes the Frobenius endomorphism of $\bar{k}/k$ given by

$$F: z \longrightarrow z^q (z \in \bar{k})$$

Since the classes of the lines on $X \otimes \bar{k}$ defined over $\bar{k}$ and generate the group $N(X) = \text{Pic}(X \otimes \bar{k})$, F induces a permutation F^* on the lines. let N denote the number of k -points of the surface X .

Theorem 2.1 (A.Weil) *If the surface $\bar{X}$ is birationally trivial, then*

$$N = q^2 + q\text{Tr}F^* + 1$$

where $\text{Tr}F^*$ denotes the trace of F in the representation of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ on $N(X)$.

This theorem is due to Weil, as stated Theorem 23.1 in [1]. It is true for any smooth projective surfaces over k which becomes birationally trivial over $\bar{k}$.

In order to establish the existence of unique rational point on del Pezzo surface, we need to find out the representation with negative trace and the corresponding possible finite field.

Example Cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is del Pezzo surface of degree 3. If cubic surface contains unique rational point (i.e. $N=1$), then by Weil Theorem,

$$1 = q^2 + q\text{Tr}F^* + 1$$

By the table 1 on CH IV, §31 [1] (Classes of conjugate elements in the Weyl group $W(E_6)$), the smallest trace is -2, hence $q = 2$ is the only possibility to satisfy the equation.

By computer program checking, there indeed exist unique smooth cubic hypersurface up to linear transformation over $\mathbb{F}_2$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with unique non-singular point over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and the equation of the surface is

$$F(x, y, z, w) = xw^2 + x^2w + y^3 + z^2y + z^3 + x^3 + yzx + (y + z)x^2$$

Theorem 2.2 (Enriques, Swinnerton-Dyer) *Every Del Pezzo surface X of degree 5 defined over k has a k -point.*

Theorem 2.3 *A Del Pezzo surface of degree 1, 5, or 7 must automatically have a k -point. (See [4] for a summary, and [5], Corollary 3.1.5 for $d = 5$.)*

About exceptional curves: Every del Pezzo surface X has only finitely many exceptional curves, and their structure is independent of the location of the points blown up, provided that they are general. And we have the following informations:

- Degree $X = 3$, then X is a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with 27 exceptional curves, and the automorphism group of X is E_6 .

- Degree $X = 2$, then X is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ over a smooth quartic with 56 exceptional curves, and the automorphism group of X is E_7 .
- Degree $X = 1$, then X is rational elliptic surfaces with 240 exceptional curves, and the automorphism group of X is E_8 .

3 Del Pezzo surface of degree 1

Theorem 3.1 *A del Pezzo surface of degree 1 is a smooth sextic in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$, and conversely.*

Let x, y, z, w be the variables on $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$. If $\text{char} \neq 2, 3$, then a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 can be given by an equation

$$w^2 + z^3 + G(x, y)z + F(x, y) = 0$$

where G and F are binary homogeneous forms of degrees 4 and 6, respectively.

If we could prove that our claim is true for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2, then we could simplify our work a lot by the following remark given by Urabe in [6]:

Remark We can find some links among the tables for E_7 and E_8 .

First, there are natural mappings among tables induced by blowing-up of a point on the corresponding surface. Let f be the mapping from the set of rows of the table for E_7 to the set of rows of the table for E_8 satisfying $f(1) = 98, f(i) = i + 36$ for $2 \leq i \leq 24, f(i) = i + 41$ for $25 \leq i \leq 33, f(34) = 76, f(35) = 79, f(36) = 80, f(37) = 109, f(38) = 87, f(39) = 88, f(40) = 95, f(41) = 101, f(42) = 103, f(43) = 104, f(44) = 107, f(45) = 110, f(i) = i + 45$ for $46 \leq i \leq 52, f(i) = i + 46$ for $53 \leq i \leq 56, f(i) = i + 48$ for $57 \leq i \leq 59$ and $f(60) = 111$. This f has the following properties:

1. It is almost injective. Indeed, if we exclude rows 40, 41, 44, 50, 55 and 59 (for them the Carter symbol has $(.)'$ or $(.)''$) from the table for E_7 , then it becomes injective. Besides, $f(40) = f(50) = 95, f(41) = f(55) = 101$ and $f(44) = f(59) = 107$.
2. It almost preserves Carter symbols. Indeed, if we ignore symbols $(.)'$ and $(.)''$, then the Carter symbol of the row i and that of $f(i)$ are equal.
3. The index of the row $f(i)$ is greater than or equal to 1 plus the index of the row i . Moreover, except for rows 1 and 37 through 45, the equality always holds.

4. If the Frame symbol of the row i is $\prod_m m^{n_m}$, then the Frame symbol of the row $f(i)$ is equal to $1^{n_1+1} \cdot \prod_{m \neq 1} m^{n_m}$
5. For items in the three columns Q, M and H^1 , the item of the row i and the item of the row $f(i)$ are equal ([1], p. 155, Theorem 29.1, Lemma 29.1.2).

Second, for rows with positive index we can define the correspondence in the opposite direction, which is induced by blowing-down of exceptional curves on the surface. For rows with index 1 it gives the inverse mapping of the above f . It preserves the structure of H^1 .

Analyzing the informations given by Urabe's table [6] for $W(E_8)$, we could derive that the unique k -rational point situation could only happen in the following cases:

- Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, Row 12,19,48
- Over $\mathbb{F}_3$, Row 1, Row 9,10,38
- Over $\mathbb{F}_4$, Row 15
- Over $\mathbb{F}_5$, Row 44
- Over $\mathbb{F}_7$, Row 8,

However, by the Remark above, we could conclude that cases given by Row 38,44,48 couldn't happen after analyzing the corresponding rows in E_7 . Hence, only Row 12,19 over $\mathbb{F}_2$, Row 1,9,10 over $\mathbb{F}_3$, Row 15 over $\mathbb{F}_4$ and Row 8 over $\mathbb{F}_7$ left. Running computer program(Pseudo code will be provided later or skipped), we could confirm the case over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3$ and $\mathbb{F}_7$, but Row 15 over $\mathbb{F}_4$ is a little complicate to solve. In all, we have the following:

Theorem 3.2 *Let X be smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 defined as above, then X has at least 3 rational points over any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

Proof By the analysis above, we only have to focus our proof on cases that over $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4$ and $\mathbb{F}_7$.

Now let's look at the Geometry side:

Consider the map

$$|-K_x| : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

given by the anticanonical class. Then the smooth fibers are elliptic curves induced by the equation of X , and they have genus $g = 1$. To be more specific,

Elliptic fibration Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 have a canonical rational point $P_{can} := [0 : 0 : 1 : 1]$. The anticanonical map is

$$X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

$$[x : y : z : w] \mapsto [x : y]$$

. Its indeterminacy at P_{can} is resolved by taking

$$Y := Bl_{P_{can}} X :$$

We get an elliptic surface $Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, whose fiber above $(m : n) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to an elliptic curve.

Since for general t the polynomial $w^2 + z^3 + G(t, 1)z + F(t, 1) = 0$ defines an elliptic curve, which is exactly the fiber equation of the map. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3 (Hasse) *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q = p^r$ elements ($p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a prime). The following statement gives a bound of the size of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, N_q , i.e. the number points of E defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

$$|N_q - q - 1| \leq 2\sqrt{q}$$

We can easily see that when $q \geq 5, N_q > 1$, i.e. we always have more than 1 point on the fiber, which is to say we always have more than 1 point on the surface. Then we only have to analyze X over field $\mathbb{F}_2$, and $\mathbb{F}_3, \mathbb{F}_4$.

The following is the computer proof:

- Step 1 Degree 1 Del Pezzo Surface over $\mathbb{F}_2$
Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ is given by

$$w^2 + wzL(x, y) + wG_3(x, y) + z^3 + G_4(x, y)z + G_6(x, y) = 0$$

where $L(x, y)$ is a linear polynomial, $G_3(x, y), G_4(x, y), G_6(x, y)$ are binary homogeneous polynomial in x, y of degree 3, 4, 6 respectively.

But over $\mathbb{F}_2$, we will have the relations: $w^n = w, z^n = z, x^n = x$ and $y^n = y$, hence the equation becomes

$$w + z + wz(px + qy) + w(ax + bxy + cy) + z(dx + exy + fy) + gx + hxy + iy = 0$$

Analyze g, h, i :

1. if $g = h = i = 1$, then we will always have $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ as rational point.
2. if one of g, h, i is 0,
 - $i = 0 \implies [0, 1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ are rational points

- $g = 0 \implies [1, 0, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ are rational points
- $h = 0 \implies [1, 1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ are rational points
- 3. if 2 of g, h, i are 0,
 - $h = g = 0, i = 1 \implies [1, 0, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ are rational points
 - $h = i = 0, g = 1 \implies [0, 1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 0, 1, 1]$ are rational points
 - $g = i = 0, h = 1 \implies [1, 0, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 1, 0, 0]$ are rational points
- 4. if $g = h = i = 0$, then $[1, 1, 0, 0]$ and $[0, 1, 0, 0]$ are rational points.

Hence, $g = h = i = 1$ is the only possibility to have a unique solution to our equation. Hence our equation becomes

$$w + z + x + xy + y + pwzx + qwzy + awx + bwx + cwy + dzx + exy + fzy = 0$$

with a, b, c, e, d, f, p, q 8 coefficients, and x, y, z, w 4 variables.

Using the following pseudo-code to find all the solutions:

Step 1 Set solution number = 0, define all variables.

Step 2 Repeat x, y, z, w from 0 to 1, hence run over all the possible pairs; Repeat a, b, c, e, d, f, p, q from 0 to 1, hence run over all the possible combination for coefficients;

Step 3 Define func1 = $w + z + x + xy + y + pwzx + qwzy + awx + bwx + cwy + dzx + exy + fzy$;

Step 4 if $\text{func1} \bmod 2 = 0$ then count current solution; Then back to Step 2.

Step 5 if solution number is still 0, then print the equation with no solution;

Result There are no equations with 0 or 1 solution, at least there are 3 solutions. Hence, all degree 1 del Pezzo surfaces have at least 3 rational points over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

- Step 2 Degree 1 Del Pezzo Surface over $\mathbb{F}_3$

Over $\mathbb{F}_3$, it is given by

$$w^2 + z^3 + z^2 G_2(x, y) + G_4(x, y)z + G_6(x, y) = 0$$

where $G_2(x, y), G_4(x, y), G_6(x, y)$ are binary homogeneous polynomial in x, y of degree 2, 4, 6 respectively. In $\mathbb{F}_3$, we have relation $x^3 = x, y^3 = y, z^3 = z$, hence write the equation explicitly as follows:

$$w^2 + z + z^2(ax^2 + bxy + cy^2) + z(dx^2 + exy + fx^2y^2 + gy^2) + (px^2 + qxy + rx^2y^2 + sy^2) = 0$$

Since $[0, 0, 2, 2]$ is always a rational point on our surface over $\mathbb{P}_3$, to

avoid equivalent solutions being counted redundantly, we try to find all the equations that don't have $[0,1,z,w]$ as solution. Which is to say,

$$w^2 + z + z^2(c * 1) + z(g * 1) + s \neq 0$$

which is to say, find such (c, g, s) that the equation $w^2 + z + z^2(c * 1) + z(g * 1) + s = 0$ has no solution. Then we have the following pseudo-code to establish this:

Step 1 Set solution number = 0, define all variables.

Step 2 Repeat w, z from 0 to 3, hence run over all the possible pairs; Repeat a, b, c from 0 to 3, hence run over all the possible combination for coefficients;

Step 3 Define $\text{func1} = w * w + z + z * z * a + z * b + c$;

Step 4 if $\text{func1} \bmod 3 = 0$) then count current solution; Then back to Step 2.

Step 5 if solution number is still 0, then print the equation with no solution;

It turns out, only when $c = 0, g = 2, s = 1$ the equation has no solution, which means,

$$w^2 + z + z^2(ax^2 + bxy) + z(dx^2 + exy + fx^2y^2 + 2y^2) + (px^2 + y^2 + rx^2y^2 + qxy) = 0$$

is the only possible type of del Pezzo surfaces that could have unique rational points, all the other types must have more than 4 solutions. Then we run a similar program as in Step 1, to find solutions for this equation over $\mathbb{F}_3$.

Result All del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 over $\mathbb{F}_3$ will have rational points other than $[0,0,z,w]$ except for the following one:

$$w^2 + z(2x^2 + x^2y^2 + 2y^2 + 1) + x^2 + 2x^2y^2 + y^2 = 0$$

However, it is easy to check the above equation have two distinct solutions $[0,0,1,2]$ and $[0,0,2,2]$. Hence all del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 over $\mathbb{F}_3$ have more than 1 rational points.

- Step 3 Degree 1 Del Pezzo Surface over $\mathbb{F}_4$.

Since $\mathbb{F}_4 = \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[t]}{t^2+t+1}$, also have characteristic 2, so the equation is the same as over $\mathbb{F}_2$, i.e.

$$w^2 + wzL(x, y) + wG_3(x, y) + z^3 + G_4(x, y)z + G_6(x, y) = 0$$

Similar to the previous analysis, we always have $[0,0,1,1]$ as base point, then we find the equations that don't have $[0,y,z,w]$ as their solutions

when $y \neq 0$. Which means to find all a, b, c, d over $\mathbb{F}_4$ such that the following equation has no solution:

$$w^2 + z^3 + a * w * y * z + b * w * y^3 + c * z * y^4 + d * y^6 = 0$$

Run the similar program, we could find the following qualified equations:

1. $w^2 + z^3 + \alpha w * y^3 + \alpha * y^6 = 0$
2. $w^2 + z^3 + \alpha w * y^3 + y^6 = 0$
3. $w^2 + z^3 + w * y^3 + \alpha * y^6 = 0$
4. $w^2 + z^3 + w * y^3 + (1 + \alpha) * y^6 = 0$
5. $w^2 + z^3 + (1 + \alpha)w * y^3 + \alpha * y^6 = 0$
6. $w^2 + z^3 + (1 + \alpha)w * y^3 + (1 + \alpha) * y^6 = 0$

For example, let's consider equation that contains $w^2 + z^3 + w * y^3 + (1 + \alpha) * y^6 = 0$ as in case 4. Hence, our original equation for del Pezzo surface will be

$$w^2 + z^3 + \mathbf{a}wxz + \mathbf{b}w + \mathbf{c}wx^2y + \mathbf{d}wxy^2 + w * y^3 + \mathbf{e}zx + \mathbf{f}zy + \mathbf{g}zx^2y^2 + \mathbf{k}zxy^3 + \mathbf{m}y^3\mathbf{h}x^2y + \mathbf{i}xy^2 + \mathbf{j} + (1 + \alpha) = 0$$

Then write $w = w_1 + \alpha * w_2, x = x_1 + \alpha * x_2, y = y_1 + \alpha * y_2, z = z_1 + \alpha * z_2$, and if use $*$ to represent all the coefficients, write $*$ = $*_1 + \alpha * *_2$, where all $w_i, x_i, y_i, z_i, *_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

Plug into above equation, and collect terms to write our equation as $F = F_1(x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i) + \alpha * F_2(x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i)$. We will have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 = & w_1 * w_1 + w_2 * w_2 + z_1 + z_2 + z_1 * z_2 + b_1 * w_1 + b_2 * w_2 + (a_1 * w_1 + a_2 * w_2) * (z_1 * x_1 + z_2 * x_2) \\ & + (y_1 + y_2 + y_1 * y_2) * (w_2 + m_1 + (k_1 * x_1 + k_2 * x_2) * z_1 + (k_1 * x_2 + k_2 * x_1 + k_2 * x_2) * z_2) \\ & + (a_1 * w_2 + a_2 * w_1 + a_2 * w_2) * (z_1 * x_2 + z_2 * x_1 + z_2 * x_2) \\ & + (c_1 * w_1 + c_2 * w_2) * (x_1 * x_1 * y_1 + x_2 * x_2 * y_1 + x_2 * x_2 * y_2) \\ & + (c_1 * w_2 + c_2 * w_1 + c_2 * w_2) * (x_1 * x_1 * y_2 + x_2 * x_2 * y_1) \\ & + (d_1 * w_1 + d_2 * w_2) * (x_1 * y_1 * y_1 + x_1 * y_2 * y_2 + x_2 * y_2 * y_2) \\ & + (d_1 * w_2 + d_2 * w_1 + d_2 * w_2) * (x_2 * y_1 * y_1 + x_1 * y_2 * y_2) + (e_1 * x_1 + e_2 * x_2) * z_1 \\ & + (e_1 * x_2 + e_2 * x_1 + e_2 * x_2) * z_2 + (f_1 * y_1 + f_2 * y_2) * z_1 + (f_1 * y_2 + f_2 * y_1 + f_2 * y_2) * z_2 \\ & + (z_1 * g_1 + z_2 * g_2) * ((x_1 * x_1 + x_2 * x_2) * (y_1 * y_1 + y_2 * y_2) + x_2 * x_2 + y_2 * y_2) \\ & + (z_1 * g_2 + z_2 * g_1 + z_2 * g_2) * (x_1 * x_1 * y_2 * y_2 + x_2 * x_2 * y_1 * y_1 + x_2 * x_2 * y_2 * y_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (h1*y1+h2*y2)*(x1*x1+x2*x2) + (h2*y1+h1*y2+h2*y2)*x2*x2 \\
& + (i1*x1+i2*x2)*(y1*y1+y2*y2) + (i2*x1+i1*x2+i2*x2)*y2*y2+j1;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2 = & w2*w2+b1*w2+b2*w1+w2*b2+(a1*w1+a2*w2)*(z1*x2+z2*x1+z2*x2) \\
& + (y1+y2+y1*y2)*(m2+w2+w1+(k1*x2+k2*x2+k2*x2)*z1+(k1*x1+k1*x2+k2*x1)*z2) \\
& + (a1*w2+a2*w1+a2*w2)*(z1*x2+z2*x1+z1*x1) \\
& + (c1*w2+c2*w2+c2*w1)*(x1*x1*y1+x1*x1*y2+x2*x2*y2) \\
& + (c1*w1+c2*w2)*(x1*x1*y2+x2*x2*y1) \\
& + (d1*w1+d2*w2)*(x1*y2*y2+x2*y1*y1) \\
& + (d1*w2+d2*w1+d2*w2)*(x2*y1*y1+x1*y1*y1 \\
& + x2*y2*y2) + (e1*x2+e2*x1+e2*x2)*z1 \\
& + (e1*x2+e2*x1+e1*x1)*z2 + (f1*y2+f2*y1+f2*y2)*z1 \\
& + (f1*y2+f2*y1+f1*y1)*z2 \\
& + (x1*y1+x2*y2)*(z1*g2+z2*g1+z2*g2) + (x1*y2+x2*y1+x2*y2)*(z1*g1+z2*g2) \\
& + (h1*y2+h2*y1+h2*y2)*(x1*x1+x2*x2) + (h2*y1+h1*y2+h1*y1)*x2*x2 \\
& + (i1*x2+i2*x1+i2*x2)*(y1*y1+y2*y2) + (i2*x1+i1*x2+i1*x1)*y2*y2+j2+y1+y2+y1*y2
\end{aligned}$$

Use the similar analysis as above to find the coefficients such that $F_1 = F_2 = 0$ has no solution besides $[0,0,1,1]$.

Furthermore, we could use the very similar analysis and program to check the other 5 cases.

Result All degree 1 Del Pezzo surfaces over $\mathbb{F}_4$ have at least 2 rational points.

- Step 4 Degree 1 Del Pezzo Surface over $\mathbb{F}_5$.

Although from the geometry analysis above, we could easily get the conclusion that over $\mathbb{F}_5$, if all the fibers are smooth elliptic curves, then we will naturally have more than 1 points on the underground surface. However, since there maybe singular fibers of several different types, we still provide computer proof as the following.

Over $\mathbb{F}_5$, it is given by

$$w^2 + z^3 + G_4(x, y)z + G_6(x, y) = 0$$

,and $[0,0,1,2]$ is the base point as usual. Hence, we try to find the equation that doesn't have any solution in the form of $[0,y,z,w]$ where

$y \neq 0$.

Which is to say, try to find the coefficients (e,s) such that

$$w^2 + z^3 + e * y^4 * z + s * y^6 = 0$$

doesn't have solution. Hence, we could solve this problem by finding all the solutions to the above equation.

Step 1 Set solution number =0, define all variables.

Step 2 Repeat w, z from 0 to 5, y from 1 to 5 hence run over all the possible pairs; Repeat e, s from 0 to 5, hence run over all the possible combination for coefficients;

Step 3 Define $func1 = w^2 + z^3 + e * y^4 * z + s * y^6$;

Step 4 if $func1 \bmod 5 = 0$ then count current solution; Then back to Step 2.

Step 5 if solution number is still 0, then print the equation with no solution;

Result: The above equation always have solutions over any pair of (e,s) over $\mathbb{F}_5$. Hence, all del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 over $\mathbb{F}_5$ will have at least 2 rational points.

- **Conclusion** All del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 over any finite field will have at least 2 rational points.

4 del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2

Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2, then X can be realized as the surface in weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ given by the equation

$$w^2 + G_4(x, y, z), \tag{1}$$

where $G_4(x, y, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4. So X is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ ramified over the (smooth) quartic curve $F = 0$, which is a canonical model of a curve of genus 3. The lifts of the 28 bitangents to this quartic curve come in pairs, forming 56 exceptional curves on X .

By the Weil's theorem, and the information of trace from the Urabe's table [6] for del Pezzo surface of degree 2, the unique k -rational point situation could only happen in the following cases:

- Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, Carter symbol: $5A_1$, with orbit decomposition $2^4 \cdot 2^8 \cdot 2^{16}$, $H^1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
and Carter symbol: $A_3 + 3A_1$, with orbit decomposition $2^2 \cdot 2^6 \cdot 4^{10}$, $H^1 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ and Carter symbol: $2A_3 + A_1$, with orbit decomposition $2^4 \cdot 4^{12}$, $H^1 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$

- Over $\mathbb{F}_3$, Carter symbol: $D_4 + 3A_1$, with orbit decomposition $2^{10} \cdot 6^6$, $H^1 = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$
- Over $\mathbb{F}_4$, Carter symbol: $6A_1$, with orbit decomposition $2^{12} \cdot 2^{16}$, $H^1 = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$

Theorem 4.1 *Consider del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 over any finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have:*

1. Over $\mathbb{F}_2$, del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ is given by

$$w^2 + wF_2(x, y, z) + F_4(x, y, z)$$

where F_2 is a homogeneous quadratic polynomial. Then there exists del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 with unique rational point over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

2. Over $\mathbb{F}_3$, there doesn't exist any equation with unique solution.
3. Over $\mathbb{F}_4 = \frac{\mathbb{F}_2[t]}{t^2+t+1}$, there doesn't exist any equation with unique solution either.
4. Over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q \geq 5$, there are always at least $(1 + q)$ rational points

Proof From the analysis above, we only need to check the unique rational point cases over $\mathbb{F}_2$, $\mathbb{F}_3$ and $\mathbb{F}_4$.

- Consider the case where our del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2(**dP2**) are considered in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ and $\mathbb{F}_4$. Hence the equation of dP2 would be

$$w^2 + w * G_2(x, y, z) + G_4(x, y, z) = 0$$

where G_2, G_4 are homogenous polynomial in degree 2 and 4 respectively.

Let $X = \{w^2 + w * G_2(x, y, z) + G_4(x, y, z) = 0\}$ denote the rational points on dP2, and let $C = \{G_2(x, y, z) = 0\}$ denote the zero locus of G_2 , hence we have $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) \geq \#C(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $q = 2^r$. In order to find dP2 that contains unique $\mathbb{F}_q$ point, we must have $\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0$ or 1, i.e. $G_2(x, y, z)$ must be a nonsplit quadric. Without loss of generality, we let $G_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + xy + y^2$. Furthermore, after completing squares in our function, we could assume $G_4(x, y, z)$ vanish everywhere.

Result Run the similar computer program, we could find 256 equations with unique solution $[0, 0, 1, 0]$.

I couldn't establish a complete list of all different dP2 surfaces up to linear isomorphism. But at least there are at least 2 dP2 surfaces over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with unique rational point.

- Consider the case over $\mathbb{F}_4$. Similar as over $\mathbb{F}_2$, $G_2(x, y, z)$ must be a nonsplit quadric, hence we could assume $G_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + \alpha xy + y^2$. To simplify the procedure, we start to find all the equations that don't have $[0, y, z, w]$ as a solution. That is to say,

$$w^2 + y^2 * w + G_4(0, y, z) = 0$$

has zero solution. To write out this explicitly, we have

$$w^2 + y^2 * w + s_1 y^4 + s_2 Z^4 + s_3 y^2 z^2 + s_4 yz = 0$$

Write

$$s_i = a_i + \alpha b_i$$

$$w = w_1 + \alpha w_2$$

$$z = z_1 + \alpha z_2$$

$$y = y_1 + \alpha y_2$$

Plug in and collect terms, then we will have the equation of $F_1(y_i, z_i, w_i) + \alpha F_2(y_i, z_i, w_i) = 0$, where

$$F_1 = w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_1 + a_1 + a_2 z_1 + b_2 z_2 + a_3(z_1^2 + z_2^2) + b_3 z_2$$

$$F_2 = w_x^2 + w_2 + b_1 + b_2 z_2 + b_2 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 Z_2^2 + b_3 z_z^2 + b_4 z_1 + b_4 z_2 + a_4 z_2$$

Run a similar computer program to find equation that such that $F_1 = F_2 = 0$ has no common solution.

Result There is no such equation. Hence, all dP2 surfaces have more than 1 rational point over $\mathbb{F}_4$.

- Consider dP2 surfaces over $\mathbb{F}_3$. Our equation becomes $w^2 + G_4(x, y, z) = 0$, and it is very easy to run the computer program to find equations with 0 or 1 solutions.

Result All dP2 surfaces have more than 1 rational points over $\mathbb{F}_3$.

References

- [1] Y.I.Manin, *Cubic Forms*, Second edition, Noth-Holland (1986).
- [2] D.F.Coray and M.A.Tsfasman, *Arithmetic on singular del Pezzo surfaces*, Geneva preprint, 1986
- [3] Yuri I Manin, M A Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry and arithmetic* RUSS MATH SURV, 1986, 41 (2), 51-116.
- [4] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thé 1 ène. Points rationnels sur les variétés non de type général. Cours IHP, lecture notes, <http://www.math.u-psud.fr/~colliot/liste-prepub.html>, 2003.

- [5] Alexei Skorobogatov. *Torsors and rational points*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. Cambridge tracts in mathematics, 144.
- [6] Tohsuke, Urabe, *Calculation of Manin's invariant for del Pezzo surfaces*, MATHEMATICS OF COMPUTATION Volume 65, Number 213 January 1996, 247258
- [7] Jaime E. A. Rodriguez, Oscar Paz la Torre, Renato Vidal Martins and Paulo Henrique Viana, *On certain curves of genus three in characteristic two*, Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society, Volume 39, Number 1 / March, 2008,137-155
- [8] H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Applications of algebraic geometry to number theory* , Proc. 1969 summer inst. number theory , Proc. Symp. Pure Math. , 20 , Amer. Math. Soc. (1971) pp. 152
- [9] H.P.F.Swinnerton-Dyer, *The zeta function of a cubic surface over a finite field*,Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 63 (1967), 5571; MR, 35, # 4256
- [10] H.P.F.Swinnerton-Dyer, *The birationality of cubic surfaces over a given field*, Michigan Math. J., 17 (1970), 289295; MR, 43, # 4825
- [11] Frame,J.S. *The classes and representations of the groups of 27 lines and 28 bitangents*.Ann.Mat.Pura Appl.(4)32(1951),83-119
- [12] R.W.Carter, *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group*,Compositio Math. 25(1972),1-59.MR47:6884.